1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COUNTY O ASHLEY CABALLERO, AMERICA CABALLERO, and LUIS VENEGAS,	
19 20 21	individuals, on behalf of themselves, all others similarly situated, all other aggrieved employees, and the general public, Plaintiffs, vs.	 FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGES FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME COMPENSATION REIMBURSEMENT OF EMPLOYMENT EXPENSES UNLAWFUL DEDUCTIONS FROM WAGES
22		5. FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL PERIODS6. FAILURE TO AUTHORIZE AND PERMIT
23 24	FIDELITONE LAST MILE, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,	REST PERIODS 7. FAILURE TO FURNISH ACCURATE WAGE STATEMENTS
25	unough 23, metasive,	8. WAITING TIME PENALTIES
26	D 6 1	9. UNFAIR COMPETITION 10. CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER LABOR CODE
-~ I	Detendants.	BB ***
27	Defendants.	PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT OF 2004, LABOR CODE 2698, ET. SEQ

Plaintiffs ASHLEY CABALLERO, AMERICA CABALLERO, and LUIS VENEGAS ("Plaintiffs"), individually, on behalf of all similarly situated individuals, and on behalf of all other aggrieved employees, allege as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This is a proposed class action brought against Defendant FIDELITONE LAST MILE, INC. and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive (collectively, "Defendants" or "Fidelitone"), on behalf of Plaintiffs and all other non-employee workers who worked in California as a Contract Carrier, Driver, and/or Helper (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Delivery Drivers") for Defendants at any time during the four years preceding April 6, 2020¹, and continuing while this action is pending ("Class Period"), who were denied the benefits and protections required under the California Labor Code and other statutes and regulations applicable to California employees.
 - 2. During the Class Period, Defendants:
 - a. unlawfully misclassified Delivery Drivers as independent contractors;
 - b. failed to pay wages for all hours worked by Delivery Drivers;
 - c. failed to pay Delivery Drivers the applicable legal minimum wage;
 - d. failed to pay overtime wages due to Delivery Drivers;
 - e. failed to provide meal and rest periods due to Delivery Drivers;
 - f. failed to provide the Delivery Drivers with timely and accurate wage and hour statements;
 - g. failed to pay the Delivery Drivers compensation in a timely manner upon their termination or resignation;
 - failed to maintain complete and accurate payroll records for the Delivery
 Drivers;
 - i. wrongfully withheld wages and compensation due to the Delivery Drivers;
 - j. committed unfair business practices in an effort to increase profits and to gain an unfair business advantage at the expense of the Delivery Drivers and the

¹ Pursuant to California Emergency Rule of Court 9, the statute of limitations for this matter was tolled beginning on April 6, 2020 and, as of the date of filing of this Complaint, remains in effect.

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

public; and

- k. committed violations of the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA")
- 3. The foregoing acts and other acts by Defendants - committed throughout California and San Bernardino County - violated provisions of the California Labor Code, including sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.7, 226.8, 246, 510, 512, 515, 551, 552, 558, 1194, 1198, and 2802 (collectively, "Employment Laws"), violated the applicable Wage Orders issued by California's Industrial Welfare Commission, including Wage Orders 9-2001 during the Class Period ("Regulations"), violated California's Unfair Business Practices Act, California Business & Professions Code sections 17200 et seq., and violated Plaintiff's rights.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Venue is proper in this Judicial District and the County of San Bernadino because work was performed by Plaintiffs and other members of the Class for Defendants in the County of San Bernardino, California, and Defendants' obligations under the Employment Laws and Regulations to pay overtime wages, to provide meal and rest periods and accurate wage statements to Plaintiffs and other members of the Class arose and were breached in California, including the County of San Bernardino.
- 5. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction in this matter because Plaintiffs are residents of California, and Defendants are corporations qualified to do business in California and regularly conduct business in California. Further, no federal question is at issue as the claims are based solely on California law.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis alleges that Defendant FIDELITONE LAST MILE, INC., as a foreign corporation, has not designated any county in California as its principal place of business. As such, venue is proper in any county in California.

THE PARTIES

7. Plaintiffs ASHLEY CABALLERO, AMERICA CABALLERO, and LUIS VENEGAS are, and at all relevant times were, competent adults residing in California. Plaintiffs brings suit on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated individuals pursuant California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, and California Business & Professions Code sections 17200, et seq. Plaintiffs were

unlawfully classified by Defendant Fidelitone Last Mile, Inc. as an independent contractor and worked as a Driver and/or Helpers in California.

- 8. Defendant FIDELITONE LAST MILE, INC. is, and at all relevant times was, a Delaware corporation registered with the State of California's Secretary of State. Fidelitone provides logistics and delivery services to its retail merchants like Pottery Barn, Williams-Sonoma, and others, to deliver product and services to their clients' customers. Fidelitone utilizes Delivery Drivers to pick up the merchandise at the merchants' stores or warehouses and to deliver and install them at the customers' homes or businesses. FIDELITONE is therefore a provider of managed transportation services including the planning and execution for "last mile" delivery of its retail merchant clients. FIDELITONE has engaged in unlawful employment practices addressed in this Complaint throughout California and in San Bernardino County.
- 9. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon alleges that Defendants uniformly apply their pay practices, and overtime policies to all Drivers and Driver Assistants. Plaintiffs are currently unaware of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued in this action by the fictitious names DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and therefore sue those defendants by such fictitious names.
- 10. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of such fictitiously named defendants when they are ascertained.
- 11. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon alleges that each defendant sued in this action, including each defendant sued by the fictitious names DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, is responsible in some manner for the occurrences, controversies and damages alleged below.
- 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon alleges that DOES 1 through 25, inclusive were the agents, servants and/or employees of Defendants and, in doing the things hereinafter alleged and at all times, were acting within the scope of their authority as such agents, servants and employees, and with the permission and consent of Defendants.
- 13. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon alleges that Defendants ratified, authorized, and consented to each and all of the acts and conduct of each other as alleged herein.
- 14. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, were their employer under California law, that Defendants did acts consistent with the

existence of an employer-employee relationship with Plaintiffs despite their unlawful classification of Plaintiffs as independent contractor.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 15. Defendants unlawfully classified Plaintiffs and other similarly situated individuals as independent contractors when, in fact, they were heavily regulated through a series of work-related restrictions and directives through Defendants.
- 16. Specifically, Fidelitone secures truck owners and contracts with such truck owners for purposes of delivering, installing, and picking up of merchandise and products to Fidelitone's clients' customers. These truck owners go to the warehouses of Fidelitone 's clients and go through a hiring process with Fidelitone's employees who are officed at the same site. Truck owners are hired by Fidelitone. Truck owners then bring on drivers and driver assistants who are also evaluated by Fidelitone for hiring purposes. Delivery Drivers provide "last mile" delivery, installation, and haul away services. These services are integral and essential to Fidelitone's core business.
- 17. Fidelitone's "Carrier/Independent Contractor Agreement" ("Agreement") with Delivery Drivers, states that the Delivery Drivers are independent contractors. The Agreements are contracts of adhesion that are drafted exclusively by Fidelitone and/or on its behalf by its agents. The terms of the Agreement are non-negotiable. Through this agreement, Fidelitone reserves and actually exercises the right to control the manner and means by which the Delivery Drivers perform their duties for Fidelitone.
- 18. Fidelitone has a series of directives that Delivery Drivers must abide by despite the fact that Fidelitone unlawfully classifies them as independent contractors. Fidelitone reserves the right to determine the locations where the Delivery Drivers pick up and drop off the merchandise assigned to them; the time of day when they must report to work; and the order and timing of their deliveries. Fidelitone provides each Delivery Driver a daily manifest of work assignments that includes the delivery address, the "service window" time when the delivery must be made, and whether the Delivery Driver is required to call the customer 30 minutes ahead of arrival to give notice of when he will make the delivery. The Delivery Drivers must complete all of the work assigned to them and are not allowed to refuse assignments. Fidelitone reserves the right to control the Delivery Drivers'

physical appearance, including requiring them to wear Fidelitone uniforms. Fidelitone also reserves the right to require the Delivery Drivers to know and follow Fidelitone's customer service standards in performing their work, and conduct surveys to determine whether customers are satisfied with the Delivery Drivers' work.

- 19. Fidelitone further reserves the right to require the Delivery Drivers to follow certain work methods related to, for example, how to move and install the appliances and how to interact with customers. Fidelitone determines the year, branding, and other specifications of the vehicles that the Delivery Drivers use to perform their work. Fidelitone prescribes how the Delivery Drivers document their work and require the Delivery Drivers to contact Fidelitone upon arrival at each stop and then again after the delivery is completed. Fidelitone also reserves the right to require the Delivery Drivers to call each customer 30 minutes before making the delivery to let the customers know they are on their way. Fidelitone employs a variety of managerial and supervisory employees who instruct the Delivery Drivers on their job performance and their delivery assignments. The Delivery Drivers interact with Fidelitone's personnel on a daily basis. Fidelitone's managerial and supervisory employees also hold regular in-person and/or telephonic meetings where the Delivery Drivers' attendance is mandatory.
- 20. The Delivery Drivers are paid each week by Fidelitone a flat amount for each delivery, or for each day or week of work, in amounts that are unilaterally determined by Fidelitone. Fidelitone makes deductions from the Delivery Drivers' pay for reasons including customer complaints, late deliveries, and damaged items and property. Fidelitone requires Delivery Drivers to pay for payroll administration services from Contractor Management Services LLC d/b/a Openforce and deducts money from Delivery Drivers' weekly pay for these services. This "flat rate" constitutes an unlawful piece-rate compensation system because Delivery Drivers had no control over how many hours they worked and could do nothing to change the amount of pay through their own efficiencies.
- 21. Fidelitone requires the Delivery Drivers to purchase multiple forms of insurance coverage in amounts determined by Fidelitone through insurance plans specified and sometimes negotiated by Fidelitone, and to name Fidelitone and its clients as additional "insureds." Fidelitone further requires the Delivery Drivers to purchase or rent from Fidelitone's clients, certain tools and

28 | \\\

equipment.

- 22. Fidelitone directs Delivery Drivers to engage helpers to deliver the assigned merchandise. Fidelitone prohibits the Delivery Drivers from working with helpers who have not been screened, qualified, and approved in advance by Fidelitone. Fidelitone expects helpers to follow the same work methods and standards it requires the Delivery Drivers to follow and to comply with all other requirements communicated by Fidelitone, including attendance at meetings held by Fidelitone management.
- 23. Fidelitone permits Delivery Drivers to engage other Drivers and/or Helpers at their own expense who have been screened, qualified, and approved by Fidelitone. Delivery Drivers may engage such Drivers and/or Helpers so that they are able to take a day off from work, such as for example when they are unable to come to work due to illness or so that they may have a day of rest. Fidelitone expects Drivers and/or Helpers to follow the same work methods and standards it requires the Delivery Drivers to follow and to comply with all other requirements communicated by Fidelitone, including following instructions given by Fidelitone managers and attending mandatory meetings.
- 24. The Delivery Drivers are economically dependent for their financial livelihood on Fidelitone, and Fidelitone is entirely dependent on the Delivery Drivers for the retail merchandise delivery service provided by Fidelitone to its clients. The Delivery Drivers are terminable at will. They may be terminated upon fifteen- or thirty-days written notice without cause or immediately for alleged breaches of the broadly-worded standards and obligations described in the Agreement.
- 25. The Agreements typically remain in effect for one year and are automatically renewed at the end of that period for another one-year term, unless either party gives notice of termination. Most of the Delivery Drivers have worked, or did work, for Fidelitone for several years. Despite Fidelitone's pervasive control over all aspects of its delivery service operation, including the details of the Delivery Drivers' work, Fidelitone has classified and treated the Delivery Drivers as "independent contractors." Fidelitone's classification and treatment of the Delivery Drivers as "independent contractors" rather than as "employees" is and during all relevant times has been unlawful.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

26. Plaintiffs bring these claims as a class action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and Business and Professions Code §§ 17203 & 17204. Plaintiffs bring this action on Plaintiffs' own behalf and on behalf of the following class of individuals (the "Class" or "Class Members"):

All individuals employed by, or formerly employed by, Defendants as Contract Carriers, Drivers, and/or Helpers who worked in the State of California from April 6, 2016, and continuing while this action is pending.

- 27. All Delivery Drivers, i.e., Contract Carriers, Drivers, and Helpers, including Plaintiffs, are putative class members.
- 28. During the Class Period, by virtue of unlawfully classifying Plaintiffs and Class Members as independent contractors and compensating Class Members with a "flat rate" Defendants have routinely failed to compensate Delivery Drivers all of the wages they are due ("off-the-clock" work).
- 29. During the Class Period, Plaintiffs and Delivery Drivers were subject to Defendants' unlawful company practice of classifying them as independent contractors and paying them a daily flat sum of money when in fact they were subjected to the pervasive control of Defendants. All who were subject to this unlawful classification and compensation scheme suffered damages. Defendants applied this illegal wage device uniformly to all Delivery Drivers to the disadvantage of Class Members.
- 30. As a result, during the Class Period, Defendants have failed to provide Drivers and Helpers with accurate wage and hour statements since the daily "flat rate" did not fully compensate Delivery Drivers for all hours worked. Defendants have failed to provide Delivery Drivers with accurate wage and hour statements since the gross hours earned, total hours worked, all deductions made, net wages earned, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate.
- 31. During the Class Period, Plaintiffs and Delivery Drivers have been required to work more than eight hours per day and more than forty hours per workweek. Defendants have routinely failed to compensate Delivery Drivers all of the overtime wages they are due.

- 32. During the Class Period, Defendants have failed to pay accrued wages and other compensation due immediately to Delivery Drivers who were terminated, and Defendants have failed to pay accrued wages and other compensation due within seventy-two hours to Delivery Drivers who ended their employment.
- 33. The proposed class is ascertainable in that its members can be identified using information contained in Defendants' payroll and personnel records.
- 34. <u>Numerosity</u>. The Delivery Drivers are so numerous, conservatively estimated to include over 40 Delivery Drivers, that joinder of each individual Class Member would be impracticable, and the disposition of their claims in a class action, rather than numerous individual actions, will benefit the parties, the Court and the interests of justice.
- 35. <u>Commonality</u>. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved in this action, because Defendants' failure to pay Delivery Drivers their wages or afford them the protections required under the Employment Laws and Regulations affects all Class Members. Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions that affect only individual Delivery Drivers, because all Delivery Drivers were subject to the uniform, unlawful pay practices and policies. The predominate questions of law and fact include, but are not limited to:
 - a. Whether Defendants devised a scheme and/or plan to circumvent California wage and hour laws;
 - b. Whether Defendants' conduct was fraudulent and/or deceitful;
 - Whether Defendants' conduct violated the Employment Laws and Regulations; and
 - (i) failed to compensate Plaintiffs and the Class Members for all hours worked;
 - failed to compensate Plaintiffs and the Class Members at the applicable and legally-mandated minimum hourly rate then in effect;
 - (iii) failed to provide Plaintiffs and the Class Members with timely and accurate wage and hour statements; and

- (iv) failed to maintain complete and accurate payroll records for Plaintiffs and the Class Members;
- d. Whether Defendants' systematic acts and practices violate, *inter alia*,
 California Business & Professions Code section 17200, *et seq*.
- 36. Typicality. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the other Delivery Drivers because all Delivery Drivers share the same or similar employment duties and activities, all are automatically classified as independent contractors, and all have been denied the benefits and protections of the Employment Laws and Regulations in the same manner. Since Defendants have uniformly applied the same pay practices and policies to each Delivery Driver, Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of all Delivery Drivers. Plaintiffs' claims are also typical because Plaintiffs have suffered the same damages as those suffered by all Class Members.
- 37. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiffs can fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of all Delivery Drivers in that Plaintiffs do not have disabling conflicts of interest which are antagonistic to those of all other Delivery Drivers. Plaintiffs seek no relief which is antagonistic or adverse to the other Class Members, and the infringement of their rights and the damages they have suffered are typical of all other Class Members. Plaintiffs' counsel is competent and experienced in litigating class actions in California based on large employers' violations of the Employment Laws and Regulations.
- 38. As mentioned above, to the extent that any Delivery Drivers entered into any arbitration agreement with any Defendant and such agreement purports to require arbitration, such agreement is void and unenforceable. Even if such agreement is deemed enforceable, however, class-wide arbitration is appropriate and should be utilized to obtain class-wide relief.
- 39. <u>Superiority of Class Action</u>. The nature of this action and the nature of laws available to Plaintiffs and the other Delivery Drivers in the putative Class make use of the class action a particularly efficient and effective procedure because:
 - a. For many of the Delivery Drivers, individual actions or other individual remedies would be impracticable and litigating individual actions would be too costly;

IWC wage order No. 9, and the Minimum Wage Order were in full force and effect and required that

Defendant's California nonexempt employees receive the minimum wage for all hours worked

27

irrespective of whether nominally paid on an hourly, piece rate, or any other basis, at the rate of ten dollars (\$10) per hour for work performed up through January 1, 2017, ten dollars and fifty cents (\$10.50) per hour for work performance up through January 1, 2018, eleven dollars (\$11) per hour for work performed up through January 1, 2019, twelve dollars (\$12) per hour for work performed up through January 1, 2020, and thirteen dollars (\$13) per hour for work performed thereafter.

- 42. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and putative class members for all hours worked at the statutory minimum wage rate, as required by law, including for work time spent at mandatory meetings; work time spent waiting to receive assignments and merchandise at Defendant's client's stores or warehouses; work time spent picking up and transporting "haul away" merchandise; and work time spent returning paperwork to Defendant's client's stores at the end of the workday.
- 43. At various times throughout the relevant statutory period, Defendants have caused Plaintiffs and putative class members to incur expenses and deductions that contributed to Defendants' failing to pay minimum wages for all hours worked, as required by law.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants, Plaintiffs and putative class members have been deprived of minimum wages due in amounts to be determined at trial, and to additional amounts as liquidated damages, pursuant to Cal. Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1194.2.
- 45. By violating Cal. Labor Code §§ 1182.11, 1182.12, and 1197, IWC wage order No. 9, § 4, and the Minimum Wage Order, Defendants are also liable for civil penalties, interest and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under Cal. Labor Code §§ 558, 1194, 1197.1.
 - 46. Plaintiffs request relief as described below.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME COMPENSATION

(CAL. LABOR CODE §§ 510, 1194 ET SEQ.; IWC WAGE ORDER NO. 9.)

- 47. The allegations of each of the preceding paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein by reference, and Plaintiffs allege as follows a claim of relief.
- 48. Defendants have been required, pursuant to Cal. Labor Code § 510 and IWC wage order No. 9, § 3, to pay Plaintiffs and putative class members overtime compensation at a rate of 1.5

times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked in excess of eight in a day and in excess of 40 in a week, and at a rate of two times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked in excess of 12 in a day.

- 49. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and putative class members daily or weekly overtime compensation in violation of Cal. Labor Code § 510 and IWC wage order No. 9, § 3.
- 50. As a result of Defendants' unlawful acts, Plaintiffs and putative class members have been deprived of overtime compensation in an amount to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, plus interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs, under Cal. Labor Code § 1194.
- 51. By violating Cal. Labor Code § 510, Defendants are liable for civil penalties and attorneys' fees and costs under Cal. Labor Code §§ 558, 1194, and 1197.1.
 - 52. Plaintiffs request relief as described below.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

REIMBURSEMENT OF EMPLOYMENT EXPENSES

(CAL. LABOR CODE § 2802)

- 53. The allegations of each of the preceding paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein by reference, and Plaintiffs allege as follows a claim of relief.
- 54. Cal. Labor Code § 2802 provides: "An employer shall indemnify his or her employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer [which includes] all reasonable costs, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees incurred by the employee enforcing the rights granted by this section."
- 55. As a direct consequence of discharging their duties for Defendants and/or obeying Defendants' directions, Plaintiffs and putative class members have necessarily incurred expenses for which they have not been indemnified by Fidelitone, including the purchase and/or lease and depreciation of vehicles; fuel, maintenance, and other vehicle operating costs; various forms of insurance; wages paid to Delivery Drivers; costs associated with lost or damaged merchandise and other property damage; Fidelitone proprietary uniforms; certain tools and equipment Defendants have

required Plaintiffs and class members to purchase or rent from Fidelitone's clients; other miscellaneous equipment including moving pads and blankets, dollies, hand tools, installation supplies, GPS navigational equipment, and cellular telephones; fees for payroll administration services; expenses associated with a cash bond or fund; and the attorneys' fees incurred to enforce Plaintiffs' and putative class members' rights under Cal. Labor Code § 2802.

- 56. Defendants have failed to indemnify or in any manner reimburse Plaintiffs and putative class members for these expenditures and losses.
- 57. By requiring Plaintiffs and putative class members to pay expenses and cover losses that they incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties for Defendants and/or in obedience of Defendants' direction, Defendants have violated and continues to violate Cal. Labor Code § 2802.
- 58. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs and putative class members have suffered substantial losses according to proof, as well as pre-judgment interest, costs, and attorneys' fees for the prosecution of this action, which losses are compensable under Cal. Labor Code §2802.
 - 59. Plaintiffs request relief as described below.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

UNLAWFUL DEDUCTIONS FROM WAGES

(CAL. LABOR CODE §§ 221 & 223; IWC WAGE ORDER NO. 9)

- 60. The allegations of each of the preceding paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein by reference, and Plaintiffs allege as follows a claim of relief.
- 61. Cal. Labor Code § 221 provides: "It shall be unlawful for any employer to collect or receive from an employee any part of wages theretofore paid by said employer to said employee."
- 62. Cal. Labor Code § 223 provides: "Where any statute or contract requires an employer to maintain the designated wage scale, it shall be unlawful to secretly pay a lower wage while purporting to pay the wage designated by statute or by contract."
- 63. IWC wage order No. 9, § 8 provides that the only circumstance under which an employer can make a deduction from an employee's wage due to cash shortage, breakage, or loss of

equipment is if the employer can show that the shortage, breakage, or loss was the result of the employee's gross negligence or dishonest or willful act.

- 64. These and related statutes, along with California's fundamental public policy protecting wages and wage scales, prohibit employers from subjecting employees to unanticipated or unpredicted reductions in their wages; making employees the insurers of their employer's business losses; otherwise passing the ordinary business losses of the employer onto the employee; taking deductions from wages for business losses in any form unless the employer can establish that the loss was caused by a dishonest or willful act, or gross negligence of the employee; or taking other unpredictable deductions that may impose a special hardship on employees.
- 65. Defendants have violated Cal. Labor Code §§ 221 and 223 and IWC wage order No. 9, § 8 by unlawfully taking deductions from Plaintiffs' and putative class members' compensation to cover certain ordinary business expenses of Defendants, including various forms of insurance; uniforms; payment services; equipment and tools; losses associated with lost or damaged merchandise and other property damage; gift cards and other payments given or reimbursed to customers who complain or are dissatisfied with service they received; and "charge-back" items Defendants claim to reserve the right to deduct from Plaintiff's and putative class members' pay.
- 66. Defendants have further violated Cal. Labor Code §§ 221 and 223 and IWC wage order No. 9, § 8 by unlawfully taking deductions from Plaintiffs' and putative class members' compensation for the purpose of establishing a reserve account to draw upon to cover ordinary business expenses, including compensation to customers complaining of damages to their merchandise or to their homes.
- 67. Because Defendants made unlawful deductions from Plaintiffs' and putative class members' compensation, they are liable to Plaintiffs and putative class members for the compensation that should have been paid but for the unlawful deductions, pursuant to Cal. Labor Code §§ 221 and 223 and IWC wage order No. 9, § 8.
- 68. By unlawfully deducting wages and failing to pay Plaintiffs and putative class members, Defendants are also liable for penalties, interest and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under Cal. Labor Code §§ 218.5 and 1194.
 - 69. Plaintiffs request relief as described below.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL PERIODS

(CAL. LABOR CODE §§ 226.7, 512; IWC WAGE ORDER NO. 9)

- 70. The allegations of each of the preceding paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein by reference, and Plaintiffs allege as follows a claim of relief.
- 71. Plaintiffs and putative class members have regularly worked in excess of five (5) hours in a workday without being provided at least a half-hour meal period in which they were relieved of all duties, as required by Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC Wage Order No. 9, § 11(A).
- 72. Because Defendants failed to provide proper meal periods, they are liable to Plaintiffs and putative class members for one hour of additional pay at the regular rate of compensation for each workday that the proper meal periods were not provided, pursuant to Cal. Labor Code § 226.7(b) and IWC wage order No. 9, § 11(B).
- 73. By violating Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC wage order No. 9, §11, Defendants are also liable for penalties, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs under Cal. Labor Code §§ 218.5 and 1194.
 - 74. Plaintiffs request relief as described below.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO AUTHORIZE AND PERMIT REST PERIODS

(CAL. LABOR CODE § 226.7; IWC WAGE ORDER NO. 9)

- 75. The allegations of each of the preceding paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein by reference, and Plaintiffs allege as follows a claim of relief.
- 76. Plaintiffs and putative class members have regularly worked in excess of four (4) hours in a workday without Defendant's authorizing and permitting them to take at least a ten minute rest period, as required by Cal. Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC wage order No. 9, § 12.
- 77. Because Defendants failed to authorize and permit proper rest periods, Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs and putative class members for one hour of additional pay at the regular rate of compensation for each workday that the proper rest periods were not authorized and permitted, pursuant to Cal. Labor Code § 226.7(b) and IWC wage order No. 9, § 12(B).

- 78. By violating Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC wage order No. 9, §11, Defendants are also liable for penalties, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs under Cal. Labor Code §§ 218.5 and 1194.
 - 79. Plaintiffs request relief as described below.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO FURNISH ACCURATE WAGE STATEMENTS

(CAL. LABOR CODE §§ 226, 226.3; IWC WAGE ORDER NO. 9)

- 80. The allegations of each of the preceding paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein by reference, and Plaintiffs allege as follows a claim of relief.
- 81. Cal. Labor Code § 226(a) and IWC wage order No. 9, § 7(B) require employers semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages to furnish each California employee with a statement itemizing, among other things, the total hours worked by the employee. Cal. Labor Code § 226(b) provides that if an employer knowingly and intentionally fails to provide a statement itemizing, among other things, the total hours worked by the employee, then the employee is entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50) for the initial violation and one hundred dollars (\$100) for each subsequent violation, up to four thousand dollars (\$4,000).
- 82. Defendants knowingly and intentionally failed to furnish Plaintiffs and putative class members with timely, itemized statements that accurately report the total hours worked, as required by Cal. Labor Code § 226(a) and IWC wage order No. 9, § 7(B). As a result, Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs and putative class members for the amounts provided by Cal. Labor Code § 226(b), including an award of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
 - 83. Plaintiffs request relief as described below.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

WAITING TIME PENALTIES

(CAL. LABOR CODE §§ 201, 202, 203)

- 84. The allegations of each of the preceding paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein by reference, and Plaintiffs allege as follows a claim of relief.
 - 85. Cal. Labor Code § 201 requires an employer who discharges a California employee to

pay all compensation due and owing to that employee immediately upon discharge.

- 86. Cal. Labor Code § 202 requires an employer to pay all compensation due and owing to a California employee who quits within 72 hours of that employee's quitting, unless the employee provides at least 72 hours' notice of quitting, in which case all compensation is due at the end of the employee's final day of work.
- 87. Cal. Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay compensation promptly upon discharge, as required by § 201 or § 202, then the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued compensation of up to 30 work days.
- 88. Defendants willfully failed to timely pay compensation and wages, including unpaid minimum wage, unpaid overtime pay, unreimbursed expenses and unreimbursed reserve accounts, unpaid premium pay for missed meal periods, and unpaid premium pay for missed rest periods to Plaintiffs and similarly situated putative class members no longer in Defendants' employ, upon termination of their employment. As a result, Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs and similarly situated putative class members for waiting time penalties, together with interest thereon and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, under Cal. Labor Code §§ 203 and 256.
 - 89. Plaintiffs request relief as described below.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION ACT (CAL. BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200-17209)

- 90. The allegations of each of the preceding paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein by reference, and Plaintiffs allege as follows a claim of relief.
- 91. California Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits unfair competition in the form of any unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice.
- 92. California Business & Professions Code § 17204 allows "any person acting for the interests of itself, its members or the general public" to prosecute a civil action for violation of the Unfair Competition Law.
- 93. Beginning at an exact date unknown to Plaintiff, but at least four years prior to the filing of this action, Defendants committed unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business acts and

- i. Failing to contribute to the Unemployment Trust Fund on behalf of Plaintiffs and putative class members;
- j. Failing to pay all wages due upon termination of employment to Plaintiffs and similarly situated putative class members no longer in Defendant's employ;
- k. Failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to Plaintiffs and putative class members;
- 1. Failing to keep accurate payroll records noting the actual hours worked by Plaintiffs and putative class members, in violation of Cal. Labor Code § 1174 and IWC wage order No. 9, § 7(A);
- m. Failing to pay all wages for labor performed between the 1st and 15th days of the month between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and failing to pay all wages for labor performed between the 16th and the last day of the month between the 1st and 10th day of the following month, as required by Cal. Labor Code § 204;
- willfully misclassifying Plaintiffs and putative class members as "independent contractors" in violation of the California Employee
 Misclassification Act, Cal. Labor Code § 226.8;
- o. Failing to provide Plaintiffs and putative class members paid sick leave as required by Cal. Labor Code § 246; and
- p. Intentionally, recklessly and/or negligently misrepresenting to Plaintiffs and putative class members the true nature of their employment status.
- 94. The violations of these laws serve as unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent predicate acts and practices for purposes of Business & Professions Code § 17200.
- 95. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent acts and practices described herein, Defendants have received and continue to hold ill-gotten gains belonging to Plaintiffs and putative class members. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful business practices, Plaintiffs and putative class members have suffered economic injuries including, but not limited to out-of-pocket business expenses, unlawful deductions from

compensation, loss of minimum wage and overtime wages, compensation for missed meal periods, compensation for missed rest periods, loss of unemployment insurance benefits, loss of amounts paid into cash bonds and interest thereon, waiting time penalties, and attorneys' fees and costs incurred to enforce their rights, including their rights under Cal. Labor Code § 2802. Defendants have profited from its unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent acts and practices in the amount of those business expenses, improper deductions from compensation, unpaid minimum wage and overtime, unpaid compensation for missed meal periods and missed rest periods, unpaid unemployment insurance and workers' compensation premiums, cash bond payments, and interest accrued.

- 96. Plaintiffs and putative class members are entitled to restitution pursuant to Cal. Business & Professions Code §§ 17203 and 17208 for all unpaid business expenses, unlawful deductions from compensation, minimum wage, overtime, meal period and rest period compensation, unemployment insurance and workers' compensation premiums, cash bond payments, unpaid waiting time penalties, interest since four years prior to the filing of this action, and attorney's fees and costs Plaintiffs have incurred to enforce these rights, including their rights under Cal. Labor Code § 2802.
- 97. Plaintiffs and putative class members are entitled to enforce all applicable penalty provisions of the California Labor Code pursuant to Business & Professions Code § 17202.
- 98. By all of the foregoing alleged conduct, Defendants have committed, and are continuing to commit, ongoing unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices within the meaning of Cal. Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq.
- 99. As a direct and proximate result of the unfair business practices described above, Plaintiffs and putative class members have suffered significant losses and Defendants have been unjustly enriched.
- 100. Pursuant to Cal. Business & Prof. Code §17203, Plaintiffs are entitled to: (a) restitution of money acquired by means of its unfair business practices, in amounts not yet ascertained but to be ascertained at trial; (b) injunctive relief against Defendant's continuation of its unfair and unlawful business practices; and (c) a declaration that Defendant's business practices are unfair and unlawful within the meaning of the statute.
 - 101. Plaintiffs assumed the responsibility of enforcement of the laws and lawful claims

specified herein. There is a financial burden incurred in pursuing this action which is in the public interest. Therefore, reasonable attorneys' fees are appropriate pursuant to Cal. Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

102. Plaintiffs request relief as described below.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER THE PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT

(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Other Aggrieved Employees Against All Defendants)

- 103. The allegations of each of the preceding paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein by reference, and Plaintiffs allege as follows a claim of relief.
- 104. Plaintiff has complied with the procedures for bringing suit specified in California Labor Code Section 2699.3. By letters dated April 28, 2020, and July 29, 2020, Plaintiffs Luis Venegas and Ashley Caballero, respectively, on behalf of themselves and the other aggrieved employees, gave written notice by certified mail to the LWDA and to Defendants of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to support the alleged violations.
- 105. More than sixty-five (65) calendar days have passed since Plaintiffs provided the LWDA with written notice. To date, Plaintiffs have not received any written notice nor been notified from the LWDA that it does intend to investigate the violations of the California Labor Code alleged herein.
- 106. Plaintiffs, by virtue of their employment with Defendants, and Defendants' failure to provide meal and rest periods, overtime compensation, all wages for all work performed at the statutory minimum agreed upon rate, all wages due at termination, accurate itemized wage statements, and reimbursements for business expenses, are aggrieved employees with standing to bring an action under the Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA"). Plaintiffs, as representatives of the people of the State of California, will seek any and all penalties otherwise capable of being collected by the Labor Commission and/or the Department of Labor Standards Enforcement (DLSE). This includes each of the following, as set forth in Labor Code Section 2699.5, which provides that Section 2699.3(a) applies to any alleged violation of the following provisions: Sections 201 through 203, 204, 205.5, 210, 221,

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiffs, as individuals and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals, demand jury trial of this matter. DATED: October 8, 2021 **BOYAMIAN LAW, INC.** KIZIRIAN LAW FIRM. P.C. By: Armand R. Kizirian Michael H. Boyamian DATED: October 8, 2021 MARA LAW FIRM, PC By: Matthew Crawford Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Exhibit 2

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THI	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO			
11				
12	ASHLEY CABALLERO, AMERICA	Case No. CIVSB2102002		
13	CABALLERO, and LUIS VENEGAS, individuals, on behalf of themselves, all others			
14	similarly situated, all other aggrieved employees, and the general public,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS		
15	Plaintiffs,	ACTION SETTLEMENT		
16	v.	Date: INSERT		
17	FIDELITONE LAST MILE, INC., a Delaware	Time: <mark>INSERT</mark> Judge: Hon. David S. Cohn		
18	corporation; and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,	Dept.: S26		
19	Defendants.			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement came before this Court, the Honorable David S. Cohn presiding, on INSERT, 2022. The Court having considered the papers submitted in support of the Motion, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The following Class is conditionally certified for purposes of settlement only: All individuals who worked for Defendant Fidelitone Last Mile, Inc. ("Defendant") as Contract Carriers, Drivers and/or Helpers in the State of California (but excluding acknowledged employees of Defendant) from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021, and all entities owned and controlled by Contract Carriers which contracted directly with Defendant to perform freight delivery services from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021.
- 2. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement filed herewith. Capitalized terms shall have the definitions set forth in the Settlement.
- 3. The Settlement appears to be fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final approval hearing and final approval by this Court.
- 4. Plaintiffs Ashley Caballero, America Caballero, and Luis Venegas are conditionally approved as the Class Representatives for the Class.
- 5. The proposed Class Representative Enhancements of \$10,000 each payable to the Plaintiffs for their services as the class representatives are conditionally approved.
- 6. David Mara and Matthew Crawford of Mara Law Firm, PC, Michael H. Boyamian of Boyamian Law, Inc., and Armand R. Kizirian of Kizirian Law Firm, P.C., are conditionally approved as Class Counsel for the Class.
- 7. The proposed awards of up to \$333,300 in attorneys' fees and up to \$30,000 in actual costs payable to Class Counsel are conditionally approved.
 - 8. A final approval hearing on the question of whether the Settlement, attorneys'

fees and costs to Class Counsel, and the Class Representative Enhancements should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to Class Members is scheduled in Department S26 on the date and time set forth in Paragraph 15 below.

- 9. The Court confirms Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions ("Phoenix") as the Settlement Administrator.
- 10. The proposed payment of no more than \$15,000 in costs to Phoenix for its services as the Settlement Administrator is conditionally approved.
- 11. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the allocation of \$50,000 of the Gross Settlement Fund to Plaintiffs' PAGA claims. Of this amount, 75% will be paid to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and the remaining 25% will be distributed to the Class Members who worked from April 28, 2019, through November 6, 2021 (the "Aggrieved Employees"). At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will determine the sufficiency of the PAGA payment. If the Court decides to award less than the amounts set forth by the Parties, then excess amount will become part of the available Net Settlement Amount.
- 12. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notices in substantially the form attached as Exhibit A the Settlement. The Court approves the procedure for Class Members to participate in, to opt out of, and to object to, the Settlement as set forth in the Notice of Settlement.
- 13. The Court directs the mailing of the Notice by first class mail to Class Members in accordance with the implementation schedule set forth in Paragraph 15 below. The Court finds the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Notice, as set forth in the Implementation Schedule, meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 14. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final approval, the Court hereby enjoins Plaintiff and all Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceedings (including, but not limited to, filing claims with the Division of

Labor Standards Enforcement of the California Department of Industrial Relations) regarding claims released by the Settlement unless and until such Class Members have filed valid Requests for Exclusion with the Settlement Administrator and the time for filing valid Requests for Exclusion with the Settlement Administrator has elapsed. This provision shall not apply to claims not alleged in the Action.

15. The Court orders the following <u>Implementation Schedule</u> for further proceedings:

a.	Deadline for Defendant to submit	[10 business days after Preliminary
	Class Information to Settlement	Approval Order]
	Administrator:	
b.	Deadline for Settlement	[30 calendar days after Preliminary
	Administrator to Mail the Notice to	Approval Order]
	Class Members	
c.	Deadline for Class Members to	[45 calendar days after mailing of
	Postmark Requests for Exclusion	Notice to Class Members]
	Forms	
d.	Deadline for Receipt by Court and	[45 calendar days after mailing of
	Counsel of any Objections to the	Notice to Class Members]
	Settlement	
e.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file	[16 Court days before Final
	Motion for Final Approval of	Approval Hearing]
	Settlement	
f.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file	[16 Court days before Final
	Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs	Approval Hearing]
	and Class Representative Payment	
g.	Final Approval Hearing and Final	, 2022
	Approval	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	, 2022	BY
		Honorable David S. Cohn
		San Bernardino Superior Court Judge

Exhibit 3

CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Ashley Caballero, America Caballero, and Luis Venegas, Plaintiffs, vs. Fidelitone Last Mile, Inc., Defendant Case No. CIVSB2102002

NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

A court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation.
This is not a lawsuit against you and you are not being sued.
However, your legal rights are affected by whether you act or don't act.

YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO MONEY UNDER THE PROPOSED CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT. PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY; IT INFORMS YOU ABOUT YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS.

The California Superior Court, County of San Bernardino has granted preliminary approval to a proposed settlement ("Settlement") of *Ashley Caballero*, *America Caballero*, *and Luis Venegas vs. Fidelitone Last Mile*, *Inc*. Because your rights may be affected by this Settlement, it is important that you read this Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Notice") carefully.

The Court has certified the following class for settlement purposes (collectively these individuals are referred to as the "Class" or "Class Members"):

All individuals who worked for Fidelitone as Contract Carriers, Drivers and/or Helpers in the State of California (but excluding acknowledged employees of Fidelitone) from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021, and all entities owned and controlled by Contract Carriers which contracted directly with Fidelitone to perform freight delivery services during the from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021.

The purpose of this Notice is to provide a brief description of the claims alleged in the Class Action, the key terms of the Settlement, and your rights and options with respect to the Settlement.

WHAT INFORMATION IS IN THIS NOTICE

1.	Why Have I Received This Notice?	Page 1
2.	What Is This Case About?	Page 2
3.	Am I a Class Member? Am I an Aggrieved Employee?	Page 2
4.	How Does This Class Action Settlement Work?	Page 2
5.	Who Are the Attorneys Representing the Parties?	Page 3
6.	What Are My Options?	Page 3
7.	How Do I Opt Out or Exclude Myself From This Settlement?	Page 4
8.	How Do I Object to the Settlement?	Page 4
9.	How Does This Settlement Affect My Rights?	Page 5
10	. How Much Can I Expect to Receive From This Settlement?	Page 5
11	. How Do I Get More Information About the Case or the Settlement?	Page 7

1. Why Have I Received This Notice?

Defendant's records indicate that you may be a Class Member. The Settlement will resolve all Class Members' Released Class Claims, as described below, during the Claims Period.

A Preliminary Approval Hearing was held on [INSERT], in the California Superior Court, County of San Bernardino. The Court conditionally certified the Classes for settlement purposes only and directed that you receive this Notice.

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing concerning the proposed settlement on [DATE], 2022 at [TIME a.m./p.m.], located at 247 W 3rd Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415. The Final Approval Hearing may be continued without further notice to the Class Members. You are advised to check the Court's website (instructions on accessing this site are provided in Section 12 of this Notice) to confirm that the date has not been changed.

2. What Is This Case About?

The Class Action was filed by Plaintiffs Ashley Caballero, America Caballero, and Luis Venegas. The complaint alleged causes of action on behalf of Plaintiffs and those similarly situated for (1) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages; (2) Failure to Pay Overtime; (3) Failure to Reimburse Business Expenses; (4) Unlawful Deductions from Wages; (5) Failure to Provide Meal Periods; (6) Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods; (7) Failure to Furnish Accurate Wage Statements; (8) Waiting Time Penalties; (9) Violation of Unfair Competition Law; and (10) Violations of the Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code §2699, et seq. ("PAGA").

The Court has not made any determination as to whether the claims advanced by Plaintiffs have any merit. In other words, the Court has not determined whether any laws have been violated, nor has it decided in favor of Plaintiffs or Defendant; instead, both sides agreed to resolve the lawsuit with no decision or admission of who is right or wrong. By agreeing to resolve the lawsuit, all parties avoid the risks and cost of a trial. Defendant expressly denies that it did anything wrong or that it violated the law and further denies any liability whatsoever to Plaintiffs or to the Class.

3. Am I A Class Member? Am I An Aggrieved Employee?

You are a Class Member if you worked for Defendant in the State of California as a Contract Carrier, Driver and/or Helper (but not an acknowledged employee of Defendant) from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021, or were/are an entity owned and controlled by Contract Carriers which contracted directly with Defendant to perform freight delivery services during the from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021.

If you worked for Defendant in the State of California as a Contract Carrier, Driver and/or Helper (but not an acknowledged employee of Defendant) from April 28, 2019, through November 6, 2021, or were/are an entity owned and controlled by Contract Carriers which contracted directly with Defendant to perform freight delivery services during the from April 28, 2019, through November 6, 2021, you are also an "Aggrieved Employee" under the settlement.

4. How Does This Class Action Settlement Work?

In this Action, Plaintiffs sued on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals as defined in Section 3 above. Plaintiffs and these individuals comprise a "Class" and are "Class Members." The settlement of this Action resolves the Released Class Claims of all Class Members, except for those who exclude themselves from the Class by requesting to be excluded in the manner set forth below.

Plaintiffs and Class Counsel believe the Settlement is fair and reasonable. The Court must also review the terms of the Settlement and determine if it is fair and reasonable to the Class. The Court file has the Settlement

documents, which explain the Settlement in greater detail. If you would like copies of the Settlement documents, you can contact Class Counsel, whose contact information is below, and they will provide you with a copy free of charge.

5. Who Are the Attorneys Representing the Parties?

The Court has decided that David Mara and Matthew Crawford of Mara Law Firm, PC, Michael H. Boyamian of Boyamian Law, Inc., and Armand R. Kizirian of Kizirian Law Firm, P.C. are qualified as Class Counsel to represent you and all other Class Members simultaneously.

Class Counsel / Attorneys for Plaintiffs

MARA LAW FIRM, PC

David Mara
Matthew Crawford
2650 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 205
San Diego, CA 92108
Telephone: (619) 234-2833

Telephone: (619) 234-2833 Facsimile: (619) 234-4048

BOYAMIAN LAW, INC.

Michael H. Boyamian 550 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 1500 Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: (818) 547-5300 Facsimile: (818) 547-5678

KIZIRIAN LAW FIRM, P.C.

Armand R. Kizirian
550 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 1500
Glendale, California 91203-1922

Telephone: (818) 221-2800 Facsimile: (818) 221-2900

Attorneys for Defendant

NIXON PEABODY LLP

Seth L. Neulight
One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 984-8200
Facsimile: (413) 984-8300

You do not need to hire your own attorney because Class Counsel is working on your behalf. But, if you want your own attorney, you may hire one at your own cost.

6. What Are My Options?

The purpose of this Notice is to inform you of the proposed Settlement and of your options. Each option has its consequences, which you should understand before making your decision. Your rights regarding each option, and the steps you must take to select each option, are summarized below and explained in more detail in this Notice.

<u>Important Note</u>: Defendant will not retaliate against you in any way for either participating or not participating in this Settlement.

• Do Nothing:

If you do nothing and the Court grants final approval of the Settlement, you will become part of this Class Action and may receive a payment from the Settlement. You will be bound to the release of the Released Class Claims and Released PAGA Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Final Judgment. You will also give up your right to pursue the Released Class Claims and Released PAGA Claims as defined in Section No. 9 below.

• OPT OUT:

If you do not want to participate as a Class Member, you may "opt out," which will remove you from the Class and this Class Action. If the Court grants final approval of the Settlement, you will not receive a Settlement payment and you will not give up the right to sue Defendants and the Released Parties for the Released Class Claims. If you are an Aggrieved Employee, you will receive a portion of the PAGA Payment and be bound by the Released PAGA Claims, even if you opt-out of the settlement.

• OBJECT:

You may file a legal objection to the proposed settlement. If you would like to object, you may not opt out of this case.

The procedures for opting out and objecting are set forth below in the sections entitled "How Do I Opt Out or Exclude Myself From This Settlement" and "How Do I Object To The Settlement?"

7. How Do I Opt Out Or Exclude Myself From This Settlement?

To opt-out of the Settlement, a Class Member must provide the Settlement Administrator at [address] with a Timely Written Request To Opt Out (*i.e.*, it must be postmarked no later than 45 days after the First Mailing Date or [the Response Deadline]). In order to be valid, a Timely Written Request to Opt Out must state the Class Member name, contain the last four digits of his/her social security number, be dated and signed by the Class Member and be timely received by the Settlement Administrator. All Class Members shall be bound by all of the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Judgment unless a Timely Written Request to Opt Out is received by the Settlement Administrator.

The proposed settlement includes the settlement of claims for civil penalties under the PAGA. You may not request to be excluded from the settlement of a PAGA claim. Thus, if the court approves of the settlement, even if you request exclusion from the settlement, if you worked during the PAGA Period of April 28, 2019, through November 6, 2021, you will receive a portion of the PAGA Fund and will be deemed to have released the PAGA Released Claims. A request for exclusion will preserve your right to individually pursue only the remaining class claims.

8. How Do I Object To The Settlement?

If you are a Class Member who does not opt out of the Settlement, you may object to the Settlement, personally or through an attorney, by submitting a written Objection to the Settlement Administrator at [address]. All objections to the Settlement must be submitted to the Settlement Administrator no later than 45 days after the Settlement Administrator's First Mailing Date of the Class Notice, or [the Response Deadline]. The objection will not be valid if it objects only to the appropriateness of the action or its merits.

Class Members who timely file valid objections to the Settlement may (though are not required to) appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through the objector's own counsel. If the Court rejects the Objection, the Class Member will receive a Settlement payment and will be bound by the terms of the Settlement.

9. How Does This Settlement Affect My Rights? What are the Released Claims?

If the proposed settlement is approved by the Court, a Final Judgment will be entered by the Court. All Class Members who do not opt out of the Settlement will be bound by the Court's Final Judgment and will release Defendants and the Released Parties¹ from the Released Class Claims. The Released Class Claims are:

Any and all claims for: unpaid minimum wages; unpaid overtime wages; unlawful deductions from wages; failure to reimburse work-related expenses; failure to provide meal periods or pay premium wages in lieu thereof; failure to authorize and permit rest periods or pay premium wages in lieu thereof; failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; failure to pay all final wages due upon termination; and unfair, unlawful or fraudulent business acts or practices; conversion; common count; fraud; breach of contract; unjust enrichment; as well as any and all remedies available at law or equity on any of the foregoing claims, including without limitation, compensatory damages, wages, penalties, liquidated damages, interest, costs, attorneys' fees, restitution, punitive damages, declaratory relief, and injunctive relief, but only to the extent that such causes of action or claims for relief were asserted or reasonably could have been asserted by Plaintiffs or Class Members in the Action and/or Related Cases based upon any of the conduct alleged therein which arose out of their work for Fidelitone in the State of California during the Claims Period.

If you are an Aggrieved Employee, you will be bound by the Final Judgment and will release the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims, even if you opt-out of the settlement. The Released PAGA Claims are:

Any and all claims for: unpaid minimum wages; unpaid overtime wages; unlawful deductions from wages; failure to reimburse work-related expenses; failure to provide meal periods or pay premium wages in lieu thereof; failure to authorize and permit rest periods or pay premium wages in lieu thereof; failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; failure to pay all final wages due upon termination; and unfair, unlawful or fraudulent business acts or practices; conversion; common count; fraud; breach of contract; and unjust enrichment, based upon any of the conduct alleged therein which arose out of their work for Fidelitone in the State of California during the PAGA Period.

10. How Much Can I Expect to Receive From This Settlement?

The total maximum amount that Defendant could be required to pay under this Agreement shall be up to but no more than \$1,000,000 ("Gross Settlement Fund" or "GSF").

The "Net Settlement Amount" or "NSA" means the portion of the Gross Settlement Fund, available for distribution to Class Members after the deduction of (1) the Class Representative Enhancement Payments to each named Plaintiff in an amount up to \$10,000 each, for prosecution of the Action, risks undertaken for the payment of attorneys' fees and costs, and a general release of all claims; (2) the Settlement Administration Costs to the Settlement Administrator in an amount estimated not to exceed \$20,000; (3) a payment of \$50,000 allocated to the PAGA claims; and (4) payment to Class Counsel in an amount not to exceed \$333,300 (33 1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount) for attorneys' fees and an amount not to exceed \$30,000 for litigation costs. All of these payments are subject to court approval.

-5-

¹ "Released Parties" means Defendant Fidelitone Last Mile, Inc. and its predecessors and successors, as well as all of its current, former and future subsidiaries, affiliates, and parent companies, and each of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, partners, insurers, agents, administrators, executors and assigns.

The PAGA Settlement Mount means the portion of the Gross Settlement Fund which is allocated to the compromise and release of Plaintiffs' claims in the Action and Related Cases for PAGA Penalties, i.e., Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000). Of this \$50,000, 75%, or \$37,500, will be paid to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") (the "LWDA Payment"), and the remaining 25%, or \$12,500, will be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees (the "Aggrieved Employee Payment").

A. How Will Settlement Payments Be Calculated?

The NSA and Aggrieved Employee Payments shall be divided, for distribution to Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees, into two parts as follows: (a) 55% to those Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees who worked for Fidelitone during the Claims Period and/or PAGA Period as Contract Carriers; and (b) 45% to those Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees who worked for Fidelitone during the Claims Period and/or PAGA Period as Drivers and/or Helpers. Each of the aforementioned portions of the Net and PAGA Settlement Amounts shall be allocated and paid to Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees in accordance with formulas specified below.

Individual Settlement Shares to Class Members: After deducting the above-referenced items, the remaining Net Settlement Amount, will be proportionally distributed to the Class Members. The Settlement Administrator will calculate the amount of the Individual Settlement Share due to each Settlement Class Member based on the Workweeks of Settlement Class Member during the Claims Period, as reflected in Fidelitone's records. Specifically, each Settlement Class Member's Settlement Award will be calculated by multiplying the fraction x/y by the total of the applicable portion of Net Settlement Amount (i.e., 55% for Contract Carriers or 45% for Drivers/Helpers, as applicable), where "x" equals the total number of Workweeks for each Settlement Class Member, and "y" equals the total number of Workweeks in which all Settlement Class Members worked for Fidelitone.

PAGA Settlement Shares to Aggrieved Employees: The Aggrieved Employee Payment will be proportionally distributed to the Aggrieved Employees. The Settlement Administrator will calculate the amount of the PAGA Settlement Share due to each Aggrieved Employee based on the Workweeks of Aggrieved Employee during the PAGA Period, as reflected in Fidelitone's records. Specifically, each Aggrieved Employee's Settlement Award will be calculated by multiplying the fraction x/y by the total of the applicable portion of Aggrieved Employee Payment (*i.e.*, 55% for Contract Carriers or 45% for Drivers/Helpers, as applicable), where "x" equals the total number of Workweeks for each Aggrieved Employee, and "y" equals the total number of Workweeks in which all Aggrieved Employees worked for Fidelitone.

One day worked in a given week will be credited as a week for purposes of these calculations. Therefore, the value of each Class Member's and/or Aggrieved Employee's Settlement payment ties directly to the amount of weeks that he or she worked.

B. How Will My Settlement Payment Be Taxed?

Each Settlement Class Member's Individual Settlement Share will be apportioned as follows: 40% wages, 30% interest, and 30% penalties. The amounts paid as wages shall be subject to all tax withholdings customarily made from an employee's wages and all other authorized and required withholdings and shall be reported on IRS W-2 Forms issued by the Settlement Administrator on behalf of the qualified settlement fund. Fidelitone shall pay the employer portion of all such tax withholdings on the Individual Settlement Share amounts paid as wages separate from, and in addition to, the Gross Settlement Fund. Payments of all amounts will be made subject to backup withholding unless a duly executed W-9 form is received from the payee(s). The Individual Settlement Share amounts paid as penalties and interest shall be subject to all authorized and required withholdings other than the

tax withholdings customarily made from employees' wages and shall be reported on IRS 1099 Forms issued by the Settlement Administrator on behalf of the qualified settlement fund. Only the employee share of payroll tax withholdings shall be withheld from each Settlement Class Member's Individual Settlement Share.

Each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Settlement Share will be apportioned as follows: 100% penalties. The PAGA Settlement Share amounts shall be reported on IRS 1099 Forms issued by the Settlement Administrator on behalf of the qualified settlement fund.

C. What Is My Settlement Payment?

Checks issued to Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees shall be valid for 120 days. If, after this 120 day period, the total amount of remaining from any uncashed checks equals or exceeds Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000), the Settlement Administrator shall re-distribute the residue to Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees. If the total amount of the residue funds is less than Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000), those funds shall be distributed in accordance with Section 384 of the California Code of Civil Procedure to Legal Aid at Work, the cy pres recipient selected by the Parties, subject to Court approval.

12. How Do I Get More Information About the Case or the Settlement?

IF YOU NEED MORE INFORMATION OR HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, you may contact Class Counsel listed above, or the Settlement Administrator at the telephone number listed below, toll free. Please refer to the Fidelitone Class Action Settlement.

PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT OR COURT'S CLERK FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THIS SETTLEMENT.

CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Ashley Caballero, America Caballero, and Luis Venegas, Plaintiffs, vs. Fidelitone Last Mile, Inc., Defendant Case No. CIVSB2102002

NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

A court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation. This is not a lawsuit against you and you are not being sued. However, your legal rights are affected by whether you act or don't act.

YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO MONEY UNDER THE PROPOSED CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT. PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY; IT INFORMS YOU ABOUT YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS.

IN ORDER TO RECEIVE A SETTLEMENT PAYMENT, YOU MUST SUBMIT THE ENCLOSED IRS W-9 FORM TO THE SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR OR SUBMIT YOUR TAXPAYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER ONLINE AT www. .com.

The California Superior Court, County of San Bernardino has granted preliminary approval to a proposed settlement ("Settlement") of *Ashley Caballero*, *America Caballero*, *and Luis Venegas vs. Fidelitone Last Mile*, *Inc*. Because your rights may be affected by this Settlement, it is important that you read this Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Notice") carefully.

The Court has certified the following class for settlement purposes (collectively these individuals are referred to as the "Class" or "Class Members"):

All individuals who worked for Fidelitone as Contract Carriers, Drivers and/or Helpers in the State of California (but excluding acknowledged employees of Fidelitone) from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021, and all entities owned and controlled by Contract Carriers which contracted directly with Fidelitone to perform freight delivery services during the from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021.

The purpose of this Notice is to provide a brief description of the claims alleged in the Class Action, the key terms of the Settlement, and your rights and options with respect to the Settlement.

WHAT INFORMATION IS IN THIS NOTICE

1.	Why Have I Received This Notice?	Page 2
2.	What Is This Case About?	Page 2
3.	Am I a Class Member? Am I an Aggrieved Employee?	Page 2
4.	How Does This Class Action Settlement Work?	Page 2
5.	Who Are the Attorneys Representing the Parties?	.Page 3
6.	What Are My Options?	.Page 3
7.	How Do I Submit My Taxpayer Identification Number to Receive a Settlement Payment?	Page 4
8.	How Do I Opt Out or Exclude Myself From This Settlement?	.Page 5
	How Do I Object to the Settlement?	_
	. How Does This Settlement Affect My Rights?	
	. How Much Can I Expect to Receive From This Settlement?	_
	. How Do I Get More Information About the Case or the Settlement?	_
	. IRS W-9 Form	_

1. Why Have I Received This Notice?

Defendant's records indicate that you may be a Class Member. The Settlement will resolve all Class Members' Released Class Claims, as described below, during the Claims Period.

A Preliminary Approval Hearing was held on [INSERT], in the California Superior Court, County of San Bernardino. The Court conditionally certified the Classes for settlement purposes only and directed that you receive this Notice.

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing concerning the proposed settlement on [DATE], 2022 at [TIME a.m./p.m.], located at 247 W 3rd Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415. The Final Approval Hearing may be continued without further notice to the Class Members. You are advised to check the Court's website (instructions on accessing this site are provided in Section 12 of this Notice) to confirm that the date has not been changed.

2. What Is This Case About?

The Class Action was filed by Plaintiffs Ashley Caballero, America Caballero, and Luis Venegas. The complaint alleged causes of action on behalf of Plaintiffs and those similarly situated for (1) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages; (2) Failure to Pay Overtime; (3) Failure to Reimburse Business Expenses; (4) Unlawful Deductions from Wages; (5) Failure to Provide Meal Periods; (6) Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods; (7) Failure to Furnish Accurate Wage Statements; (8) Waiting Time Penalties; (9) Violation of Unfair Competition Law; and (10) Violations of the Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code §2699, et seq. ("PAGA").

The Court has not made any determination as to whether the claims advanced by Plaintiffs have any merit. In other words, the Court has not determined whether any laws have been violated, nor has it decided in favor of Plaintiffs or Defendant; instead, both sides agreed to resolve the lawsuit with no decision or admission of who is right or wrong. By agreeing to resolve the lawsuit, all parties avoid the risks and cost of a trial. Defendant expressly denies that it did anything wrong or that it violated the law and further denies any liability whatsoever to Plaintiffs or to the Class.

3. Am I A Class Member? Am I An Aggrieved Employee?

You are a Class Member if you worked for Defendant in the State of California as a Contract Carrier, Driver and/or Helper (but not an acknowledged employee of Defendant) from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021, or were/are an entity owned and controlled by Contract Carriers which contracted directly with Defendant to perform freight delivery services during the from July 31, 2016, through November 6, 2021.

If you worked for Defendant in the State of California as a Contract Carrier, Driver and/or Helper (but not an acknowledged employee of Defendant) from April 28, 2019, through November 6, 2021, or were/are an entity owned and controlled by Contract Carriers which contracted directly with Defendant to perform freight delivery services during the from April 28, 2019, through November 6, 2021, you are also an "Aggrieved Employee" under the settlement.

4. How Does This Class Action Settlement Work?

In this Action, Plaintiffs sued on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals as defined in Section 3 above. Plaintiffs and these individuals comprise a "Class" and are "Class Members." The settlement of

this Action resolves the Released Class Claims of all Class Members, except for those who exclude themselves from the Class by requesting to be excluded in the manner set forth below.

Plaintiffs and Class Counsel believe the Settlement is fair and reasonable. The Court must also review the terms of the Settlement and determine if it is fair and reasonable to the Class. The Court file has the Settlement documents, which explain the Settlement in greater detail. If you would like copies of the Settlement documents, you can contact Class Counsel, whose contact information is below, and they will provide you with a copy free of charge.

5. Who Are the Attorneys Representing the Parties?

The Court has decided that David Mara and Matthew Crawford of Mara Law Firm, PC, Michael H. Boyamian of Boyamian Law, Inc., and Armand R. Kizirian of Kizirian Law Firm, P.C. are qualified as Class Counsel to represent you and all other Class Members simultaneously.

Class Counsel / Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Defendant MARA LAW FIRM, PC NIXON PEABODY LLP David Mara Seth L. Neulight Matthew Crawford One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94111 2650 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 205 San Diego, CA 92108 Telephone: (415) 984-8200 Telephone: (619) 234-2833 Facsimile: (413) 984-8300 Facsimile: (619) 234-4048 **BOYAMIAN LAW, INC.** Michael H. Boyamian 550 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 1500 Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: (818) 547-5300 Facsimile: (818) 547-5678 KIZIRIAN LAW FIRM, P.C. Armand R. Kizirian 550 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 1500 Glendale, California 91203-1922 Telephone: (818) 221-2800 Facsimile: (818) 221-2900

You do not need to hire your own attorney because Class Counsel is working on your behalf. But, if you want your own attorney, you may hire one at your own cost.

6. What Are My Options?

The purpose of this Notice is to inform you of the proposed Settlement and of your options. Each option has its consequences, which you should understand before making your decision. Your rights regarding each option, and the steps you must take to select each option, are summarized below and explained in more detail in this Notice.

Important Note: Defendant will not retaliate against you in any way for either participating or not participating

in this Settlement.

• SUBMIT W9 FORM: The Settlement Administrator does not have your taxpayer identification number ("TIN") and you must submit your TIN to receive a Settlement payment form this Settlement. Fill out the enclosed IRS W-9 Form and return it to the Settlement Administrator. Alternatively, you can go onto the settlement website (www.___.com) and submit your TIN there. If you have questions about how to fill out the IRS W-9 Form or how to submit your TIN online, please call the Settlement Administrator at [phone number].

• Do Nothing:

If you do nothing and the Court grants final approval of the Settlement, you will become part of this Class Action and may receive a payment from the Settlement. You will be bound to the release of the Released Class Claims and Released PAGA Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Final Judgment. You will also give up your right to pursue the Released Class Claims and Released PAGA Claims as defined in Section No. 9 below. NOTE: If you do not submit your TIN, you will not receive vour Settlement Payment and will still be bound by the release of the Released Claims as defined in Section No. 10, below, and the Final Judgment

• OPT OUT:

If you do not want to participate as a Class Member, you may "opt out," which will remove you from the Class and this Class Action. If the Court grants final approval of the Settlement, you will not receive a Settlement payment and you will not give up the right to sue Defendants and the Released Parties for the Released Class Claims. If you are an Aggrieved Employee, you will receive a portion of the PAGA Payment and be bound by the Released PAGA Claims, even if you opt-out of the settlement.

• OBJECT:

You may file a legal objection to the proposed settlement. If you would like to object, you may not opt out of this case.

The procedures for submitting the IRS W-9 Form, opting out and objecting are set forth below in the sections entitled "How Do I Submit My Taxpayer Identification Number to Receive a Settlement Payment?," "How Do I Opt Out or Exclude Myself From This Settlement?," and "How Do I Object To The Settlement?"

7. How Do I Submit My Taxpayer Identification Number to Receive a Settlement Payment?

The Settlement Administrator does **not** have your Taxpayer Identification Number ("TIN") on file. In most circumstances, this number is your Social Security Number. This information is required to issue you a Settlement payment, for income tax reporting purposes. You must submit to the Settlement Administrator the payment. If you have questions about how to fill out the IRS W-9 Form or how to submit your TIN online, please call the Settlement Administrator at [phone number].

Fill in the requested information enclosed in the IRS W-9 Form, sign and mail/fax it to the Settlement Administrator:

> Fidelitone Settlement Administrator c/o Phoenix Settlement Administrators 1411 N. Batavia Street, Suite 105

Orange, CA 92867 Fax: INSERT

If you do **not** submit the enclosed IRS 1-9 Form or submit your TIN online and the Settlement Administrator does **not** have a TIN on file for you, the Settlement Administrator will **not** mail you a Settlement check. Your Settlement payment will become forfeit and will be deposited with the *cy pres* beneficiary, Legal Aid at Work.

8. How Do I Opt Out Or Exclude Myself From This Settlement?

To opt-out of the Settlement, a Class Member must provide the Settlement Administrator at [address] with a Timely Written Request To Opt Out (*i.e.*, it must be postmarked no later than 45 days after the First Mailing Date or [the Response Deadline]). In order to be valid, a Timely Written Request to Opt Out must state the Class Member name, contain the last four digits of his/her social security number, be dated and signed by the Class Member and be timely received by the Settlement Administrator. All Class Members shall be bound by all of the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Judgment unless a Timely Written Request to Opt Out is received by the Settlement Administrator.

The proposed settlement includes the settlement of claims for civil penalties under the PAGA. You may not request to be excluded from the settlement of a PAGA claim. Thus, if the court approves of the settlement, even if you request exclusion from the settlement, if you worked during the PAGA Period of April 28, 2019, through November 6, 2021, you will receive a portion of the PAGA Fund and will be deemed to have released the PAGA Released Claims. A request for exclusion will preserve your right to individually pursue only the remaining class claims.

9. How Do I Object To The Settlement?

If you are a Class Member who does not opt out of the Settlement, you may object to the Settlement, personally or through an attorney, by submitting a written Objection to the Settlement Administrator at [address]. All objections to the Settlement must be submitted to the Settlement Administrator no later than 45 days after the Settlement Administrator's First Mailing Date of the Class Notice, or [the Response Deadline]. The objection will not be valid if it objects only to the appropriateness of the action or its merits.

Class Members who timely file valid objections to the Settlement may (though are not required to) appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through the objector's own counsel. If the Court rejects the Objection, the Class Member will receive a Settlement payment and will be bound by the terms of the Settlement.

10. How Does This Settlement Affect My Rights? What are the Released Claims?

If the proposed settlement is approved by the Court, a Final Judgment will be entered by the Court. All Class Members who do not opt out of the Settlement will be bound by the Court's Final Judgment and will release Defendants and the Released Parties¹ from the Released Class Claims. The Released Class Claims are:

Any and all claims for: unpaid minimum wages; unpaid overtime wages; unlawful deductions from wages; failure to reimburse work-related expenses; failure to provide meal periods or pay premium wages in lieu

¹ "Released Parties" means Defendant Fidelitone Last Mile, Inc. and its predecessors and successors, as well as all of its current, former and future subsidiaries, affiliates, and parent companies, and each of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, partners, insurers, agents, administrators, executors and assigns.

thereof; failure to authorize and permit rest periods or pay premium wages in lieu thereof; failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; failure to pay all final wages due upon termination; and unfair, unlawful or fraudulent business acts or practices; conversion; common count; fraud; breach of contract; unjust enrichment; as well as any and all remedies available at law or equity on any of the foregoing claims, including without limitation, compensatory damages, wages, penalties, liquidated damages, interest, costs, attorneys' fees, restitution, punitive damages, declaratory relief, and injunctive relief, but only to the extent that such causes of action or claims for relief were asserted or reasonably could have been asserted by Plaintiffs or Class Members in the Action and/or Related Cases based upon any of the conduct alleged therein which arose out of their work for Fidelitone in the State of California during the Claims Period.

If you are an Aggrieved Employee, you will be bound by the Final Judgment and will release the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims, even if you opt-out of the settlement. The Released PAGA Claims are:

Any and all claims for: unpaid minimum wages; unpaid overtime wages; unlawful deductions from wages; failure to reimburse work-related expenses; failure to provide meal periods or pay premium wages in lieu thereof; failure to authorize and permit rest periods or pay premium wages in lieu thereof; failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; failure to pay all final wages due upon termination; and unfair, unlawful or fraudulent business acts or practices; conversion; common count; fraud; breach of contract; and unjust enrichment, based upon any of the conduct alleged therein which arose out of their work for Fidelitone in the State of California during the PAGA Period.

11. How Much Can I Expect to Receive From This Settlement?

The total maximum amount that Defendant could be required to pay under this Agreement shall be up to but no more than \$1,000,000 ("Gross Settlement Fund" or "GSF").

The "Net Settlement Amount" or "NSA" means the portion of the Gross Settlement Fund, available for distribution to Class Members after the deduction of (1) the Class Representative Enhancement Payments to each named Plaintiff in an amount up to \$10,000 each, for prosecution of the Action, risks undertaken for the payment of attorneys' fees and costs, and a general release of all claims; (2) the Settlement Administration Costs to the Settlement Administrator in an amount estimated not to exceed \$20,000; (3) a payment of \$50,000 allocated to the PAGA claims; and (4) payment to Class Counsel in an amount not to exceed \$333,300 (33 1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount) for attorneys' fees and an amount not to exceed \$30,000 for litigation costs. All of these payments are subject to court approval.

The PAGA Settlement Mount means the portion of the Gross Settlement Fund which is allocated to the compromise and release of Plaintiffs' claims in the Action and Related Cases for PAGA Penalties, i.e., Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000). Of this \$50,000, 75%, or \$37,500, will be paid to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") (the "LWDA Payment"), and the remaining 25%, or \$12,500, will be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees (the "Aggrieved Employee Payment").

A. How Will Settlement Payments Be Calculated?

The NSA and Aggrieved Employee Payment shall be divided, for distribution to Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees, into two parts as follows: (a) 55% to those Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees who worked for Fidelitone during the Claims Period and/or PAGA Period as Contract Carriers; and (b) 45% to those Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees who worked for Fidelitone during the Claims Period and/or PAGA Period as Drivers and/or Helpers. Each of the aforementioned portions of the Net

and PAGA Settlement Amounts shall be allocated and paid to Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees in accordance with formulas specified below.

Individual Settlement Shares to Class Members: After deducting the above-referenced items, the remaining Net Settlement Amount, will be proportionally distributed to the Class Members. The Settlement Administrator will calculate the amount of the Individual Settlement Share due to each Settlement Class Member based on the Workweeks of Settlement Class Member during the Claims Period, as reflected in Fidelitone's records. Specifically, each Settlement Class Member's Settlement Award will be calculated by multiplying the fraction x/y by the total of the applicable portion of Net Settlement Amount (*i.e.*, 55% for Contract Carriers or 45% for Drivers/Helpers, as applicable), where "x" equals the total number of Workweeks for each Settlement Class Member, and "y" equals the total number of Workweeks in which all Settlement Class Members worked for Fidelitone.

PAGA Settlement Shares to Aggrieved Employees: The Aggrieved Employee Payment will be proportionally distributed to the Aggrieved Employees. The Settlement Administrator will calculate the amount of the PAGA Settlement Share due to each Aggrieved Employee based on the Workweeks of Aggrieved Employee during the PAGA Period, as reflected in Fidelitone's records. Specifically, each Aggrieved Employee's Settlement Award will be calculated by multiplying the fraction x/y by the total of the applicable portion of Aggrieved Employee Payment (*i.e.*, 55% for Contract Carriers or 45% for Drivers/Helpers, as applicable), where "x" equals the total number of Workweeks for each Aggrieved Employee, and "y" equals the total number of Workweeks in which all Aggrieved Employees worked for Fidelitone.

One day worked in a given week will be credited as a week for purposes of these calculations. Therefore, the value of each Class Member's and/or Aggrieved Employee's Settlement payment ties directly to the amount of weeks that he or she worked.

B. How Will My Settlement Payment Be Taxed?

Each Settlement Class Member's Individual Settlement Share will be apportioned as follows: 40% wages, 30% interest, and 30% penalties. The amounts paid as wages shall be subject to all tax withholdings customarily made from an employee's wages and all other authorized and required withholdings and shall be reported on IRS W-2 Forms issued by the Settlement Administrator on behalf of the qualified settlement fund. Fidelitone shall pay the employer portion of all such tax withholdings on the Individual Settlement Share amounts paid as wages separate from, and in addition to, the Gross Settlement Fund. Payments of all amounts will be made subject to backup withholding unless a duly executed W-9 form is received from the payee(s). The Individual Settlement Share amounts paid as penalties and interest shall be subject to all authorized and required withholdings other than the tax withholdings customarily made from employees' wages and shall be reported on IRS 1099 Forms issued by the Settlement Administrator on behalf of the qualified settlement fund. Only the employee share of payroll tax withholdings shall be withheld from each Settlement Class Member's Individual Settlement Share.

Each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Settlement Share will be apportioned as follows: 100% penalties. The PAGA Settlement Share amounts shall be reported on IRS 1099 Forms issued by the Settlement Administrator on behalf of the qualified settlement fund.

C. What Is My Settlement Payment?

less taxes. This is based on the Class Data which shows you worked ____ workweeks during the Claims Period.

D. What Happens If I Don't Submit the Enclosed IRS W-9 Form?

It is strongly encouraged that you submit the enclosed IRS W-9 Form. If you do not submit this form within 120 calendar days after the settlement checks are mailed to Participating Class Members, your settlement check will be deposited with Legal Aid at Work. If you have any questions about this, please contact the Settlement Administrator.

13. How Do I Get More Information About the Case or the Settlement?

IF YOU NEED MORE INFORMATION OR HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, you may contact Class Counsel listed above, or the Settlement Administrator at the telephone number listed below, toll free. Please refer to the Fidelitone Class Action Settlement.

PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT OR COURT'S CLERK FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THIS SETTLEMENT.

IRS W-9 FORM

To timely receive payment, please complete the substitute IRS Form W-9 form below.

Sign and mail or fax this form to:

Fideltione Settlement Administrator c/o Phoenix Settlement Administrators 1411 N. Batavia Street, Suite 105 Orange, CA 92867

Fax: INSERT

Taxpayer Identification Number Certification - Substitute IRS Form W-9				
Enter your Social Security Number (SSN) or Employer Identification Number (EIN): SSN: OR EIN:				
Check Appropriate box:				
Print name as shown on your income tax return if different from Payee:				
Under penalties of perjury, I certify that:				
1. The taxpayer identification number shown on this form is my correct taxpayer identification number, and				
2. I am a U.S. person (including a U.S. resident alien). Please Check one: Yes No				
Signature:				

Exhibit 4

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO			
10				
11	ASHLEY CABALLERO, AMERICA	Case No. CIVSB2102002		
12	CABALLERO, and LUIS VENEGAS, individuals, on behalf of themselves, all			
13	others similarly situated, all other aggrieved employees, and the general	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL		
14	public,	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEYS' FEES,		
15 16	Plaintiffs, v.	COSTS, CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENTS, AND ENTERING OF FINAL JUDGMENT		
17	FIDELITONE LAST MILE, INC., a			
18	Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,	Date: INSERT Time: INSERT		
19	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. David S. Cohn Dept.: S26		
20	Defendants.	Dept.: 320		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

<u>ORDER</u>

This matter came on for hearing on INSERT, 2022, at INSERT, in Department S26 of the above-captioned Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Enhancements, and Entering of Judgment pursuant to: (1) California Rule of Court 3.769(g); (2) the Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Conditional Certification, Approval of Class Notice, Setting of Final Approval Hearing Date (hereinafter referred to as the "Preliminary Approval Order"); and (3) the Settlement Agreement.

Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement; and Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Enhancements, and Entering of Judgment, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

- 1. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, the Notice of Class Action Settlement (hereinafter referred to as the "Notice") was mailed to all members of the Class by first-class U.S. mail. The Notice informed the Class of the terms of the Settlement, of their right to receive their proportional Settlement Payment, of their right to request exclusion from the Class and the Settlement, of their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement and to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and of the date set for the Final Approval hearing. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures.
- 2. In response to the Notice, no members of the Class filed a written objection to the Settlement, no class members stated an intention to appear at the final approval hearing, and only INSERT member(s) of the Class requested to be excluded from the Settlement.
- 3. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the Class Members' response. The Court finds and

determines that the Notice provided in conjunction with preliminary approval was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.

- 4. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member and that the settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.
- 5. The Court has certified a Class, as that term is defined in and by the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.765(a).
- 6. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and directs the Parties to effectuate the settlement according to its terms. The Court finds that the settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court further finds that the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the settlement and recognizes the significant value accorded to the Class.
- 7. The Court hereby confirms David Mara and Matthew Crawford of Mara Law Firm, PC, Michael H. Boyamian of Boyamian Law, Inc., and Armand R. Kizirian of Kizirian Law Firm, P.C. as Class Counsel in this action.
- 8. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiffs Ashley Caballero, America Caballero, and Luis Venegas as the Class Representatives in this action.
- 9. The Court finds and determines that the individual Settlement Payments provided for by the terms of the Settlement Agreement to be paid to Participating Class Members are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those

amounts be made to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

- 10. The Court finds and determines that payment to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency of \$INSERT as its share of the settlement of civil penalties in this case is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount be paid in accordance with the Settlement.
- 11. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Enhancements in the sum of \$INSERT each to Plaintiffs Ashley Caballero, America Caballero, and Luis Venegas are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make these payments to the Plaintiffs/Class Representatives in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court finds and determines that the payment to be paid to the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions, in the sum of \$INSERT for its fee and expenses incurred is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make this payment to itself in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the sum of \$INSERT and litigation costs of \$INSERT. The Court finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make these payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Neither Defendant nor any related persons or entities shall have any further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as provided for by the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or pursuant to this Order, including the requirement that Defendant make payments to Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. The Court hereby enters final judgment in this case in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Preliminary Approval Order and this Order.

1	17. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise	
2	provided for by the Settlement Agreement and this Court's Order Granting Final Approval.	
3	18. Without affecting the finality of this Order in any way, the Court retains	
4	jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation	
5	effectuation and enforcement of this order and the Settlement.	
6	<u>JUDGMENT</u>	
7	19. This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rules of	
8	Court, Rule 3.769(h). In accordance with, and for the reasons stated in this Order, judgment shall	
9	be entered within the meaning and for purposes of Code of Civil Procedure sections 577	
10	904.1(a), and Rules 3.769, and 8.104 of the California Rules of Court whereby named	
11	Plaintiff/Class Representative and all Class Members shall take nothing from Defendant excep	
12	as expressly set forth in the Settlement Agreement filed on February 23, 2021, in conjunction	
13	with Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement. The Cour	
14	pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h) shall retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce	
15	the terms of the judgment.	
16		
17	Dated:	
18	BY ORDER OF THE COURT	
19	HON. DAVID S. COHN SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	SOI ENON COOKT OF CHEM ORUM	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		